

HIGH SURFACE AREA CATHODE FOR ELECTROLYTIC CAPACITORS USING CONDUCTIVE POLYMER

Inventors: Naixiong Jiang
Timothy Marshall
Melissa Moore
Christopher R. Feger
Thomas F. Strange

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention relates to the use of a conductive polymer coated electrode within an electrolytic capacitor and more particularly, to a conductive polyethylenedioxythiophene (PEDOT) polymer coated electrode adapted for use as a cathode electrode of an electrolytic capacitor and a method of manufacturing the same.

Related Art

[0002] Compact, high voltage capacitors are utilized as energy storage reservoirs in many applications, including implantable medical devices. These capacitors are required to have a high energy density since it is desirable to minimize the overall size of the implanted device. This is particularly true of an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD), also referred to as an implantable defibrillator, since the high voltage capacitors used to deliver the defibrillation pulse can occupy as much as one third of the ICD volume.

[0003] Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators, such as those disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5,131,388, incorporated herein by reference, typically use two electrolytic capacitors in series to achieve the desired high voltage for shock delivery. For example, an implantable cardioverter defibrillator may utilize two 350 to 400 volt electrolytic capacitors in series to achieve a voltage of 700 to 800 volts.

[0004] Electrolytic capacitors are used in ICDs because they have the most nearly ideal properties in terms of size, reliability and ability to withstand

relatively high voltage. Conventionally, such electrolytic capacitors typically consist of a cathode electrode, an electrically conductive electrolyte and a porous anode with a dielectric oxide film formed thereon. While aluminum is the preferred metal for the anode plates, other metals such as tantalum, magnesium, titanium, niobium, zirconium and zinc may be used. A typical electrolyte may be a mixture of a weak acid and a salt of a weak acid, preferably a salt of the weak acid employed, in a polyhydroxy alcohol solvent. The electrolytic or ion-producing component of the electrolyte is the salt that is dissolved in the solvent. The entire laminate is rolled up into the form of a substantially cylindrical body, or wound roll, that is held together with adhesive tape and is encased, with the aid of suitable insulation, in an aluminum tube or canister. Connections to the anode and the cathode are made via tabs. Alternative flat constructions for aluminum electrolytic capacitors are also known, comprising a planar, layered, stack structure of electrode materials with separators interposed therebetween, such as those disclosed in the above-mentioned U.S. Patent No. 5,131,388.

[0005] The need for high voltage, high energy density capacitors is most pronounced when employed in implantable cardiac defibrillators (ICDs). In ICDs, as in other applications where space is a critical design element, it is desirable to use capacitors with the greatest possible capacitance per unit volume. Since the capacitance of an electrolytic capacitor is provided by the anodes, a clear strategy for increasing the energy density in the capacitor is to minimize the volume taken up by paper and cathode and maximize the number of anodes. A multiple anode flat, stacked capacitor configuration requires fewer cathodes and paper spacers than a single anode configuration and thus reduces the size of the device. A multiple anode stack consists of a number of units consisting of a cathode, a paper spacer, two or more anodes, a paper spacer and a cathode, with neighboring units sharing the cathode between them. In order to achieve higher energy densities, it has been necessary to stack three, four and five anodes per layer. However, due to the higher capacitance values achieved with multiple anodes, traditional chemically

etched aluminum cathodes provide insufficient capacitance coverage at the desired thickness (30 microns or less).

[0006] In an electrolytic capacitor, the anode exhibits a dielectric capacitance capable of holding several hundred volts. The cathode exhibits a double layer capacitance capable of holding a maximum of approximately 3 volts. The anode capacitance and the cathode capacitance are in series. When a charged electrolytic capacitor pumps current through a resistive load, the anode dielectric capacitance can be visualized as discharging towards a ground potential from a less positive potential; and the cathode double layer capacitance can be visualized as charging from a negative potential to a more positive potential. The double layer capacitance is directly proportional to the effective surface area of the cathode. For traditional 20-30 micron thick aluminum foil cathodes, the double layer capacitance is typically in the range of $100-250\mu\text{F}/\text{cm}^2$.

[0007] The cathode capacitance must be many times higher than the anode capacitance if most of the anode gain is to be realized. When the cathode double layer capacitance is much larger than the anode dielectric capacitance, the cathode electrode maintains a negative potential. However, if the cathode capacitance is not much larger than the anode capacitance, the cathode electrode can develop a positive potential. If the cathode develops a positive potential, several undesirable effects can occur: (1) during a pulse discharge operation, a significant overvoltage can be fed back to the cathode, resulting in oxide buildup on the cathode which reduces the capacitor performance, (2) electrolysis can occur that consumes electrolyte and deteriorates the performance of the capacitor with usage, and (3) gaseous electrolysis byproducts can cause swelling of the capacitor. Since a reverse voltage drop at the cathode in excess of about one volt results in cathode formation and hydrogen gas production, this undesirable condition determines the condition for the minimum acceptable ratio of cathode to anode capacitance.

[0008] Thus, especially in high energy density capacitors, there is a need for the development of cathodes that have high double layer capacitance while maintaining the thinnest profile possible.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0009] The present invention is directed to the use of a conductive polymer coated electrode within an electrolytic capacitor and more particularly, to a conductive polyethylenedioxythiophene (PEDOT) polymer coated electrode adapted for use as a cathode electrode of an electrolytic capacitor and a method of manufacturing the same.

[0010] According to the present invention, a conductive polymer coating is deposited electrochemically on a metal foil substrate. The metal foil substrate is placed in an aqueous solution of 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDOT) monomer and a current is applied until the desired thickness of the polymer coating is deposited. Preferably, the aqueous solution consists of a doped EDOT monomer, a dopant that is incorporated during deposition, and a co-solvent, to dissolve the EDOT monomer. EDOT concentrations are preferably between about 0.25 % by weight and about 5.0 % by weight, more preferably between about 0.5 % by weight and about 1.0% by weight. The dopant is preferably a sulfate-containing compound, such as ammonium persulfate, ammonium sulfate, naphthalene sulfate or lithium sulfate; any of several tetrafluoroborate salts; p-toluenesulfonic acid and its salts; or one of many electrolyte salts, such as lithium perchlorate, lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate, lithium hexafluoroarsenate, lithium hexafluorophosphate, phosphoric acid and its salts, phosphorous acid and its salts, and hypophosphorous acid and its salts; as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. The concentration of dopant is preferably between about 0.25 % by weight to about 5.0% by weight, more preferably between about 0.5% by weight to about 1.0% by weight. The co-solvent must be

miscible in water and is preferably acetonitrile; an alkyl alcohol such as methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, 2-propanol and the like; or a glycol such as ethylene glycol, 1,2-propanediol, 1,3-propanediol, 2-methyl-1,3-propanediol and the like. The concentration of co-solvent is preferably between about 1% by weight to about 40 % by weight, more preferably between about 15% by weight to 20% by weight. Additionally, an organic acid can be added to the solution to act as an oxidizer. The organic acid is preferably a hydroxy acid, such as malic acid or citric acid, or a dicarboxylic acid, such as oxalic acid, maleic acid, suberic acid, azelaic acid or sebacic acid. The concentration of oxidizer is preferably between about 1.0% by weight to about 5.0 % by weight, more preferably between about 1.0 % to about 3.0 % by weight. In order to improve the uniformity and adherence of the coating a surfactant may also be added. The preferred surfactant is dioctyl sulfosuccinate, but other surfactant compounds may be used, as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. The surfactant is provided preferably in a concentration of about 0.01 % by weight to about 0.5% by weight, more preferably about 0.1% by weight to about 0.25 % by weight.

[0011] In a preferred embodiment, the EDOT monomer and cosolvent are first mixed, and then added to a water solution of oxidizer and dopant. The polymer film is deposited electrochemically onto the substrate by applying a DC current between 0.05 mA/cm² and 5.0 mA/cm² for 1 to 60 minutes, more preferably between about 0.13 mA/cm² to about 0.26 mA/cm² for between 9 and 18 minutes, such that a conductive polyethylenedioxythiophene (PEDOT) coating is formed on the electrode surface. Alternatively, a square wave current profile can be applied, for example in which the current profile is a 2 minute, 0.25 mA/cm² treatment followed by a 7 minute, 0.5 mA/cm² treatment and a 1 minute 0.25mA/cm² treatment.

[0012] According to the present invention, the increased surface area of the coated cathode results in lower gas generation and, therefore, reduced capacitor swelling, reduced oxide buildup on the cathode and prevention of electrolysis. The present invention results in electrodes with a minimum

capacitance of 1 mF/cm^2 . The present invention also makes it possible to use thinner electrodes than conventional aluminum cathodes, thereby reducing the physical dimensions of the capacitor.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS/FIGURES

[0013] FIG. 1 shows the anode capacitance realization as a function of cathode capacitance for both a conventional cathode as well as the polymer coated cathode of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[0014] The present invention relates to a conductive polymer coated electrode adapted for use as a cathode electrode of an electrolytic capacitor and more particularly, to a conductive polyethylenedioxythiophene (PEDOT) polymer coating formed on a metal substrate. The increased double layer capacitance of the coated cathode prevents the growth of oxide on the electrode and limits electrolysis and hydrogen gas generation, thereby increasing performance of the capacitor. Additionally, the present invention makes it possible to use thinner electrode foils, thereby reducing the physical dimension of the implantable lead or electrolytic capacitor.

[0015] Preferred embodiments of the present invention are now described. While specific configurations and arrangements are discussed, it should be understood that this is done for illustrative purposes only. A person skilled in the relevant art will recognize that other configurations and arrangements can be used without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. It will be apparent to a person skilled in the relevant art that this invention can also be employed in a variety of other devices and applications.

[0016] According to the present invention, a conductive polymer coating is deposited electrochemically on a metal foil substrate. The process according to the present invention begins by cleaning a metal foil substrate, preferably a valve metal foil substrate, such as aluminum, titanium, niobium, tantalum, magnesium, nickel, zirconium or zinc, or a noble metal foil substrate, such as platinum, silver or gold, to remove any oils or residue, provide a higher surface area substrate and improve adhesion of the coating. The coating process of the present invention can proceed without first cleaning the foil, but a cleaning step is preferred. The cleaning process can be performed either as a chemical etch process, for example, in a 5% oxalic acid solution, or as an electrochemical etch, for example, in a mixture of hydrofluoric and sulfuric acids or in an ethanolic solution of elemental bromine, as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art. Other etching solutions may also be used, as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art. In one embodiment, a titanium substrate is treated with 5% oxalic acid solution at 90°C for about 1-30 minutes, preferably about 4 minutes, to etch the foil surface. Alternatively, in a preferred embodiment, the coating process of the present invention can proceed without first etching the foil. Other cleaning methods may also be used, as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the relevant art. In alternative embodiments, the cleaning step may include soaking the metal foil substrate in acetone, sodium hydroxide, nitric acid or sulfuric acid; wiping the foil with an acetone solution; washing the foil with acetone; and/or washing the foil in SIMPLE GREEN industrial cleaner, available from Sunshine Makers, Inc., Huntington Harbour, CA. The cleaned substrate may then be rinsed in distilled water.

[0017] The metal foil substrate is placed in an aqueous solution of the monomer EDOT (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) and a current is applied until the desired thickness of the polymer coating is deposited. Preferably, the aqueous solution consists of a doped EDOT monomer and a co-solvent, to dissolve the EDOT monomer. EDOT concentrations are preferably between

about 0.25 % by weight and 5.0 % by weight, more preferably between about 0.5 % by weight and about 1.0% by weight.

[0018] The dopant is preferably a sulfate-containing compound, such as ammonium persulfate, ammonium sulfate, naphthalene sulfate or lithium sulfate. The dopant may also be any of several tetrafluoroborate salts, such as tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate, tetramethyl tetrafluoroborate, tetraethyl tetrafluoroborate, tetrapropyl tetrafluoroborate, or tetrabutyl tetrafluoroborate. Additionally, the dopant may be p-toluenesulfonic acid and its salts; or one of many electrolyte salts, such as lithium perchlorate, lithium trifluoromethanesulfonate, lithium hexafluoroarsenate, lithium hexafluorophosphate, phosphoric acid and its salts, phosphorous acid and its salts, and hypophosphorous acid and its salts; as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. The concentration of dopant is preferably between about 0.25 % by weight to about 5.0% by weight, more preferably between about 0.5% by weight to about 1.0% by weight.

[0019] The co-solvent must be miscible in water and is preferably acetonitrile; an alkyl alcohol such as methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, 2-propanol and the like; or a glycol such as ethylene glycol, 1,2-propanediol, 1,3-propanediol, 2-methyl-1,3-propanediol and the like. The concentration of co-solvent is preferably between about 1% by weight to about 40 % by weight, more preferably between about 15% by weight to 20% by weight.

[0020] Additionally, an organic acid is added to the solution to act as an oxidizer. The organic acid is preferably a hydroxy acid, such as malic acid or citric acid, or a dicarboxylic acid, such as oxalic acid, maleic acid, suberic acid, azelaic acid or sebacic acid. The concentration of oxidizer is preferably between about 1.0% by weight to about 5.0 % by weight, more preferably between about 1.0 % to about 3.0 % by weight.

[0021] In order to improve the uniformity and adherence of the coating a surfactant is added. The preferred surfactant is dioctyl sulfosuccinate, but other surfactant compounds may be used, as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. The surfactant is provided preferably in a

concentration of about 0.01 % by weight to about 0.5% by weight, more preferably about 0.1% by weight to about 0.25 % by weight.

- [0022] In a preferred embodiment, the EDOT monomer and cosolvent are first mixed, and then added to a water solution of oxidizer and dopant. Alternatively, however, the EDOT monomer, cosolvent, oxidizer and dopant can be mixed simultaneously. Other processes can also be used as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art. The metal foil substrate is then placed in the aqueous solution.
- [0023] The polymer film is deposited electrochemically onto the substrate by applying a DC current between 0.05 mA/cm² and 5.0 mA/cm² for 1 to 60 minutes, more preferably between about 0.13 mA/cm² to about 0.26 mA/cm² for between 9 and 18 minutes, such that a conductive polyethylenedioxythiophene (PEDOT) coating is formed on the electrode surface. Alternatively, a square wave current profile can be applied, for example in which the current profile is a 2 minute, 0.25 mA/cm² treatment followed by a 7 minute, 0.5 mA/cm² treatment and a 1 minute 0.25mA/cm² treatment. Nitrogen or another inert gas may be bubbled through the aqueous EDOT monomer solution during the coating process to remove dissolved oxygen.
- [0024] The sample may then be rinsed in acetone, methanol and/or a weak basic solution, as would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art, followed by a water rinse, and allowed to air dry. The substrate may be placed back into the aqueous solution and a current reapplied additional times, in order to further enhance the surface area of the electrode.
- [0025] The method according to the present invention results in cathode foil with a minimum capacitance of 1 mF/cm². The increased surface area according to the present invention results in lower hydrogen gas generation and therefore reduced capacitor swelling, reduced oxide buildup on the cathode and prevention of electrolysis, which leads to deteriorated capacitor performance. The present invention also makes it possible to use thinner electrodes, thereby reducing the physical dimensions of the capacitor.

[0026] During the discharge transient, voltage is divided between the anode and cathode according to the ratio of the anode and cathode oxide resistance and specific capacitance. Since a reverse voltage drop at the cathode in excess of about one volt results in cathode formation and hydrogen gas production, this undesirable condition defines the minimum acceptable ratio of cathode to anode capacitance. This ratio is somewhat sensitive to design parameters and the pulse application. A value of 100/1 is preferred for the multiple anode flat, stacked capacitor configuration of the present invention. Existing cathodes, such as the Kappa 510 cathode available from Becromal of America Inc. of Clinton, TN, provide acceptable capacitance values, but thickness remains a concern. FIG. 1 shows the anode capacitance realization as a function of cathode capacitance for both the Kappa 510 cathode as well as the polymer coated cathode of the present invention (with a value of 2 mF/cm²).

[0027] Additional advantages of the present invention include: being halide free; a mostly aqueous solution; and the ability to deposit over a large range of conditions.

[0028] An electrolytic capacitor according to the present invention is constructed of anode and cathode layers, stacked with a paper insulator or spacer between each layer. The anode layer is composed of one or more anode foils stacked together without any paper spacer, to form a high energy density anode element. The anode and cathode layers are then grouped together in a parallel connection to produce sufficient capacitance for the intended function. This finished stack is inserted into a case with a geometry closely following the contour of the stack, and designed to minimize the space occupied inside the finished defibrillator. A wound roll configuration may also be used, as would be apparent to those skilled in the relevant art.

[0029] Aluminum foil is preferred for the anode layers, because of its ability to produce a sufficient quality oxide layer, its conductive properties, and its wide commercial availability. Other valve metal foils conventionally utilized in electrolytic capacitors could also be used, including titanium, tantalum, magnesium, niobium, zirconium and zinc. Preferably, a strip of unetched,

high purity (99.99%) aluminum foil with high cubicity, wherein at least 85% of the crystalline aluminum structure is oriented in a normal position (*i.e.*, a (1,0,0) orientation) relative to the surface of the foil, is used. Such foils are well-known in the art and are readily available from commercial sources known to those skilled in the art.

- [0030] In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the anode foil may be etched in an aqueous halide based etch solution, typically a hydrochloric acid or sodium chloride solution, according to a conventional etch process; for example, U.S. Patent No. 5,715,133 to Harrington *et al.* describes a suitable method of etching foil and is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. The etch solution preferably consists of about 1.3% by weight sodium chloride, about 3.5% by weight sodium perchlorate, about 0.35% sodium persulfate, and deionized water. The etch solution preferably is heated to a temperature in the range of about 60°C to about 95°C. The foil is etched at a DC current density of about 0.01 A/cm² to about 0.30 A/cm². A charge of about 20 coulombs/cm² to 100 coulombs/cm² is passed through the foil during the etching process, which requires an etch time in the range of about 2 minutes to about 12 minutes.
- [0031] The foil is then removed from the etch solution and rinsed in deionized water. The tunnels formed during the initial etch are then widened, or enlarged, in a secondary etch solution, typically an aqueous based nitrate solution, preferably between about 1% to about 20% aluminum nitrate, more preferably between about 10% to about 14% aluminum nitrate, with less than about 1% free nitric acid. The etch tunnels are widened to an appropriate diameter by methods known to those in the art, such as that disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,518,471 and U.S. Patent No. 4,525,249, both of which are incorporated herein by reference.
- [0032] After the etch tunnels have been widened, the foil is again rinsed with deionized water and dried. Finally, a barrier oxide layer may be formed onto one or both surfaces of the metal foil by placing the foil into an electrolyte bath and applying a positive voltage to the metal foil and a negative voltage to

the electrolyte. The barrier oxide layer provides a high resistance to current passing between the electrolyte and the metal foils in the finished capacitor, also referred to as the leakage current. A high leakage current can result in the poor performance and reliability of an electrolytic capacitor. In particular, a high leakage current results in greater amount of charge leaking out of the capacitor once it has been charged.

- [0033] The formation process consists of applying a voltage to the foil through an electrolyte such as boric acid and water or other solutions familiar to those skilled in the art, resulting in the formation of an oxide on the surface of the anode foil. The preferred electrolyte for formation is a 100-1000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, preferably 500 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$, citric acid concentration. In the case of an aluminum anode foil, the formation process results in the formation of aluminum oxide (Al_2O_3) on the surface of the anode foil. The thickness of the oxide deposited or "formed" on the anode foil is proportional to the applied voltage, roughly 10 to 15 Angstroms per applied volt.
- [0034] The etched and formed anode foils are cut and the capacitor is assembled. An electrolytic capacitor stack according to the present invention consists of a number of units of: cathode, a paper spacer, one or more anodes, a paper spacer and cathode; with neighboring units sharing the cathode between them.
- [0035] The pre-assembled capacitor is then vacuum impregnated with an electrically conductive electrolyte, by placing the capacitor in contact with the electrolyte and reducing the pressure to less than 50 cm Hg. The capacitor is held at this low pressure for 5 to 45 minutes and then pressure is restored, using the pressure to force the electrolyte mixture into the capacitor stack. The capacitor is then removed and placed in an oven at a temperature of about 65°C to about 90°C and a maximum oxygen atmospheric concentration of 2% for a period of about 2 hours to about 24 hours. The capacitor is then aged in a normal manner by applying the working voltage to the capacitor, allowing the capacitor to reach this voltage, and then allowing the current to decrease.

[0036] Electrolytic capacitors according to the present invention can be incorporated into implantable medical devices, such as implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs), as would be apparent to one skilled in the art, as described in U.S. Patent No. 5,522,851.

[0037] Having now generally described the invention, the same will be more readily understood through reference to the following examples. The following examples are illustrative, but not limiting, of the method and compositions of the present invention. Other suitable modifications and adaptations of the variety of conditions and parameters normally encountered and obvious to those skilled in the art are within the spirit and scope of the invention.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

[0038] Titanium foil was cut into 4 cm² samples and cleaned in a 5% oxalic acid solution at 90°C for two minutes. A 100 ml solution was prepared of 0.5 M 3,4 ethylenedioxothiophene (EDOT) and 0.1 M tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate in acetonitrile.

[0039] The titanium foils were exposed to the solution at room temperature at varying current densities and times, as shown in Table 1 below, and the capacitance and ESR were observed.

Table 1

Current Density (mA/cm ²)	Exposure time (min)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
0.80	5	8.43	3.8162
1.25	5	13.86	3.6188
1.60	5	18.1	3.9104
1.75	8	12.05	3.8088
1.60	8	11.95	3.8909

Example 2

- [0040] A coating solution was prepared with 0.13 M 3,4 ethylenedioxathiophene, 0.026 M tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate and 0.08 M lithium perchlorate (LiClO_4) in 150 ml acetonitrile.
- [0041] Titanium foil samples of 4 cm^2 size were exposed to the solution while nitrogen was bubbled. Two current densities were examined. In the first experiment, a galvanic square wave was applied with the following set points: 1 mA (0.25 mA/cm^2) for 120 sec, 2 mA (0.5 mA/cm^2) for 420 sec and 1 mA (0.25 mA/cm^2) for 60 sec. Three cycles were performed. In the second experiment, the foil was exposed to a 2 mA (0.5 mA/cm^2) current for 15 minutes. After both treatments were complete, capacitance and ESR were observed on the foils (a) initially, (b) after an acetone rinse followed by a methanol rinse and one hour oven dry, and (c) after a two day air dry, as shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2

Treatment	Time Measured	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Galvanic Square Wave	Initial	9.15	3.9475
Galvanic Square Wave	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	6.37	3.9065
Galvanic Square Wave	After 2 day air dry	3.330	5.5875
0.5 mA/cm ² for 15 min	Initial	16.74	3.9293
0.5 mA/cm ² for 15 min	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	11.76	3.7547
0.5 mA/cm ² for	After 2 day air	6.23	6.1833

Treatment	Time Measured	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
15 min	dry		

[0042] The coating on the sample treated with a galvanic square wave current applied was very uneven on one side but black and uniform on the other. The coating for the sample of the second experiment was slightly uneven on one side but very uniform and black on the other.

Example 3

[0043] In a further experiment, the coating solution of Example 2 was used to coat additional 4 cm^2 titanium foil samples. Varying current densities and exposure times were examined and capacitance and ESR values were obtained immediately after coating and after rinses with acetone and methanol and a 60 minute oven dry, as shown in Table 3A below. Nitrogen was bubbled during coating.

Table 3A

Current Density (mA/cm^2)	Exposure Time (min)	Measurement	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
0.5	10	Initial	10.9	6.3880
0.5	10	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	8.23	6.2021
0.5	15	Initial	13.5	5.7730
0.5	15	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	10.92	5.8454
1.0	10	Initial	12.2	6.5844
1.0	10	After rinse and	11.0	6.2670

Current Density (mA/cm ²)	Exposure Time (min)	Measurement	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
		60 min. oven dry		
1.0	15	Initial	15.0	5.9170
1.0	15	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	10.69	5.9032
1.5	10	Initial	15.4	6.6758
1.5	10	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	13.4	5.8000
1.5	15	Initial	13.8	6.7371
1.5	15	After rinse and 60 min. oven dry	10.35	6.0148

[0044] The polymer cathode samples from Examples 2 and 3 were stored in a 65°C oven for five days. After storage, the capacitance and ESR of the samples were again measured, as shown in Table 3B below.

Table 3B

Treatment/Current Density	Exposure Time (min)	Days Since Coating	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Galvanic Square Wave (Example 2)	n/a	7	3.824	4.3104
0.5 mA/cm ² (Example 2)	15	7	4.000	3.9804
0.5 mA/cm ²	10	5	2.357	4.4652
0.5 mA/cm ²	15	5	4.803	4.3340

1.0 mA/cm ²	10	5	6.09	4.3442
1.0 mA/cm ²	15	5	4.909	3.6626
1.5 mA/cm ²	10	5	9.35	4.1027
1.5 mA/cm ²	15	5	7.28	4.3567

Example 4

[0045] Different coating results were observed with different titanium foil samples (a grade 1 titanium sample, a grade 2 titanium sample, and a titanium sample from Strem Chemicals, Inc., Newburyport, MA). The grade 2 titanium sample exhibited poor adherence, while the Strem sample did coat but not as evenly as the grade 1 titanium sample.

[0046] In a further experiment, a surfactant was added to the coating solution for improved adherence. A small amount of dioctyl sulfosuccinate sodium salt was added to the solution. After addition of the surfactant, all three titanium samples coated evenly without a washing step. Each sample was 1 cm by 7 cm in size and coated with an applied current of 1.8 mA for 9 minutes.

[0047] The capacitance and ESR of the samples produced were measured (after about 16 hours), as shown in Table 4 below. Samples were stored in a 60°C oven overnight.

Table 4

Titanium Foil	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Grade 1 Ti, no washing	8.61	2.1913
Grade 1 Ti, acetone wipe	7.24	2.1687
Strem Ti, no washing	9.69	2.2939
Strem Ti, acetone wipe	8.62	2.1662
Grade 2 Ti, no washing	9.61	2.1691

[0048] All of the samples obtained a good coating, but those without a washing step appeared more even and uniform.

Example 5

- [0049] A solution of 5.6 g malic acid, 2.0 g p-toluene sulfonic acid and 160.0 g water was mixed with an aqueous solution of 0.50 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate (0.25%) and 1.0 g EDOT in 40 g acetonitrile.
- [0050] Aluminum foil samples (10 cm^2) were coated with the mixture at a current density of 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes and 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes. An even coating of the film was produced.

Example 6

- [0051] A solution of 2.8 g malic acid, 1.0 g p-toluene sulfonic acid, 95 g water and 0.1 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate (surfactant) were mixed and added to 1 g EDOT monomer in 5 g acetonitrile. Two coupons ($3.5\text{ cm} \times 4\text{ cm}$) of aluminum foil were coated with the solution, one at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes and the other at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes. Both foils coated fairly evenly with a milky blue coating. The sample coated for 18 minutes appeared to have a more even coating.
- [0052] The following results were observed: the coating at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes achieved a capacitance of 15.64 mF and an ESR of $1.2290\text{ }\Omega$ and the coating at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes achieved a capacitance of 18.36 mF and an ESR of $1.2112\text{ }\Omega$.
- [0053] Two coupons ($2\text{ cm} \times 4\text{ cm}$) of 85 micron aluminum were then coated with the solution. One sample was coated at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes and the other was coated at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes. The coating for the strip at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes had an uneven coating. The coupon coated at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes also coated but had a streaky coating.
- [0054] The following results were observed: the coating at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes achieved a capacitance of 4.912 mF and an ESR of $3.3200\text{ }\Omega$ and the coating at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes achieved a capacitance of 5.08 mF and an ESR of $3.1630\text{ }\Omega$.

[0055] A grade 2 titanium sample and two titanium samples from Strem Chemicals, Inc., Newburyport, MA, were also coated with the solution. All samples were 2 cm x 4 cm in size. The grade 2 titanium sample was coated for 18 minutes at 0.13 mA/cm². The two Strem titanium samples were also coated, one at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes and one at 0.13 mA/cm² for 18 minutes. The grade 2 titanium sample coated fairly evenly but had a light coating. Coating was also slightly streaky. Both samples of Strem titanium coated evenly but the lower current density (0.13 mA/cm² for 18 minutes) application seemed to produce a slightly more even coating.

[0056] The following results were observed: the coating of the Grade 2 Ti at 0.13 mA/cm² for 18 minutes achieved a capacitance of 15.51 mF and an ESR of 2.2638 Ω, the coating of the Strem Ti at 0.13 mA/cm² for 18 minutes achieved a capacitance of 15.76 mF and an ESR of 2.0116 Ω and the coating of the Strem Ti at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes achieved a capacitance of 13.43 mF and an ESR of 2.0985 Ω.

Example 7

[0057] A coating solution utilizing 1-propanol in place of acetonitrile was examined. A solution of 2.8 g malic acid, 1.0 g p-toluene sulfonic acid and 80 g water was mixed and combined with 1.0 g EDOT, 0.1 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate in 20 g 1-propanol. A 2 cm x 4 cm coupon of grade 1 titanium was coated with this solution at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes. The coating was fairly even and uniform. The coating achieved a capacitance of 18.40 mF and an ESR of 2.1189 Ω.

[0058] The same 80/20 water/1-propanol solution was used to coat a grade 2 titanium foil from Eagle Alloys Corp. of Talbot, TN. An uncleaned 2 cm x 4 cm sample was coated at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes and an uneven streaky coating resulted. A different 2 cm x 4 cm sample was wiped with acetone and coated for 9 minutes at 0.26 mA/cm². That sample had a slightly more even coating but was still streaky. A different uncleaned 2 cm x 4 cm sample was

coated at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes and a more even but still streaky coat resulted. Finally, a cleaned (acetone wiped) 2 cm x 4 cm sample was coated at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes and had the most even and non-streaky coating of the four samples.

[0059] The following results were observed: the coating of the uncleaned sample at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes achieved a capacitance of 16.37 mF and an ESR of 2.3097Ω . The coating of the acetone wiped sample at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes achieved a capacitance of 16.40 mF and an ESR of 2.2890Ω . The coating of the uncleaned sample at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes achieved a capacitance of 16.97 mF and an ESR of 2.1325Ω . The coating of the acetone wiped sample at 0.13 mA/cm^2 for 18 minutes achieved a capacitance of 16.97 mF and an ESR of 2.2617Ω .

Example 8

[0060] To determine if other alcohols could also be useful in coating, a solution of 2.8 g malic acid, 1.0 g p-toluene sulfonic acid and 80 g water was mixed and combined with 1.0 g EDOT, 0.1 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate in 20 g 1-butanol.

[0061] A 2 cm x 4 cm strip of grade 1 titanium was coated at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes. The top of the sample did not coat well but the bottom had an even, uniform coating. The coating achieved a capacitance of 9.96 mF and an ESR of 2.4700Ω .

[0062] A sample of Eagle grade 2 titanium (8 cm^2) was coated for 9 minutes at 0.26 mA/cm^2 . The sample was not cleaned before coating. The coating was heavier on the bottom portion of the coupon, but uneven and spotty over the whole surface. The coating achieved a capacitance of 8.40 mF and an ESR of 2.4271Ω .

Example 9

- [0063] A solution consisting of 2.8 g malic acid, 1.0 g p-toluene sulfonic acid and 80 g water was mixed and combined with 1.0 g EDOT, 0.1 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate in 20 g 1-propanol. A 2 cm x 2 cm coupon was coated at 0.47 mA/cm² for 5 minutes. The coupon coated uniformly and had a capacitance of 8.28 mF.
- [0064] 4 cm² coupons of uncleared grade 1 titanium were coated at various temperature between about 0°C and 50°C to determine if temperature had an effect on the ability of solution to coat. Upon chilling the solution in an ice bath, a white cloudy layer appeared at the bottom of the beaker. The solution was therefore stirred throughout coating at each temperature to ensure that everything stayed in solution. Each coupon was coated at 0.47 mA/cm² for 5 minutes.
- [0065] At a temperature of 2°C, a very even and uniform coating was produced with no streakiness. A capacitance of 9.82 mF and an ESR of 3.4305 Ω were observed.
- [0066] At a temperature of 12°C, a very even and uniform coating was also produced with no streaks. A capacitance of 9.89 mF and an ESR of 4.0298 Ω were observed. At 12°C, the solution was again clear (not cloudy as at 2°C) but some EDOT would not stir back into the solution. Stirring was continued throughout coating.
- [0067] At 23°C, a very even and uniform coating was produced with no streaks. EDOT remained on the bottom of beaker and would not stir back into solution. A capacitance of 10.86 mF and an ESR of 3.5897 Ω were observed.
- [0068] At 32°C a very even and uniform coating was produced with no streak areas. Some EDOT remained on the bottom of the beaker and would not go back into solution. A capacitance of 9.20 mF and an ESR of 3.7068 Ω were observed.
- [0069] EDOT fully went back into solution with stirring at 38°C.

[0070] At 42°C, a very even and uniform coating with no streaky areas was produced. A capacitance of 8.92 mF and an ESR of 3.5903 Ω were observed.

[0071] At 52°C, a slightly streaky coating was produced. A capacitance of 7.48 mF and an ESR of 3.7212 Ω were observed.

Example 10

[0072] Three different temperatures were evaluated for coating ability, a cooled temperature of about 10°C, room temperature (approximately 22°C) and a heated temperature of about 33°C. Solutions were maintained at the desired temperature all day and 6 cm² samples were coated once an hour. Three 100 ml coating solutions were prepared, each consisting of 2.8 g malic acid, 1.0 g p-toluene sulfonic acid and 80 g water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT, 0.1 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate in 20 g acetonitrile. All samples were coated at 0.3 mA/cm² (1.8mA) for 7 minutes, 45 seconds. Capacitance and ESR measurements were taken initially and after one day later, as shown in Table 5A, below.

Table 5A

Hour	Temp (°C)	Initial Cap. (mF)	Initial ESR (Ω)	Cap. after one day (mF)	ESR after one day (Ω)	Comments on Coating
0	33	11.07	2.6976	6.70	2.7355	Very even and uniform blue coat
0	3.1	7.63	2.7630	4.498	2.9659	Very slightly streaky blue coat

Hour	Temp (°C)	Initial Cap. (mF)	Initial ESR (Ω)	Cap. after one day (mF)	ESR after one day (Ω)	Comments on Coating
0	21.7	11.11	2.8434	6.31	2.6690	Very even and uniform blue coat
1	33	11.06	2.4445	5.71	2.9327	Very even and uniform blue coat
1	2.1	8.37	2.6418	4.803	2.9970	Very slightly streaky blue coat
1	21.5	10.85	2.7337	7.05	2.7674	Very even and uniform blue coat
2	33	11.04	2.6935	5.40	2.7263	Very even and uniform blue coat
2	3.3	7.93	2.7282	5.17	2.7634	Slightly streaky blue coat
2	22.0	11.59	2.7049	7.40	2.7243	Very even and uniform blue coat
3	33	11.15	2.8080	5.26	3.0507	Very even and uniform blue coat; distinct blue/green color in solution, still clear
3	8.7	8.42	2.8667	5.12	2.9068	Very even and uniform blue coat
3	21.9	11.80	2.8193	6.00	2.8306	Very even and uniform blue coat

Hour	Temp (°C)	Initial Cap. (mF)	Initial ESR (Ω)	Cap. after one day (mF)	ESR after one day (Ω)	Comments on Coating
4	33	10.43	2.8114	5.58	3.1554	Even and uniform blue coat; solution less clear, distinct blue/green color
4	1.8	7.65	2.8786	4.924	3.1199	Darker areas present on coating; solution white and cloudy
4	21.5	11.68	2.7666	6.10	3.0963	Very even and uniform blue coating
5	33	12.15	2.8121	7.59	2.8974	Slightly streaky blue coating; solution is blue and opaque
5	1.6	8.64	2.9547	4.708	3.1378	Slightly streaky coating; darker areas present on coating; solution is cloudy and gray

Hour	Temp (°C)	Initial Cap. (mF)	Initial ESR (Ω)	Cap. after one day (mF)	ESR after one day (Ω)	Comments on Coating
5	21.1	11.91	2.6838	6.26	2.8871	Very even and uniform blue coating; solution is clear and yellow tinted
6	33	11.75	2.9078	7.40	3.0222	Streaky coating; lighter blue; darker areas present on coating; solution is opaque and blue
6	1.7	9.61	2.7148	6.02	3.1362	Streaky light blue coating; darker areas present; solution is opaque and gray with white spots
6	21.1	12.24	2.6941	7.00	2.6221	Very even and uniform blue coating; solution is clear and yellow

[0073] All temperature study coating solutions were stored overnight in refrigeration. The next day coating ability was examined both at the

temperature examined previously and at room temperature, as shown in Table 5B, below. The chilled solution was opaque and gray with white spots; the heated solution was opaque and an intense blue color; and the room temperature solution was clear and had a bluish tint.

Table 5B

Sample	Temp (°C)	Cap. (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on Coating
Cooled, after one day	3.3	8.76	2.9327	Streaky coating
Room, after one day	21.1	9.83	2.9563	Even and uniform blue coating
Heated, after one day	33	8.80	2.7304	Slightly streaky coating
Cooled, after one day, at room temp.	23.8	8.07	3.0686	Slightly streaky coating
Room, after one day, at room temp.	22.7	10.37	2.8671	Very slightly streaky blue coating
Heated, after one day, at room temp.	24.6	5.65	2.9977	Streaky coating with dark areas

[0074] Coating with the chilled solution still appeared to fairly even. Coating with the room temperature solution was still even and consistent. The heated solution appeared to be losing its coating ability.

Example 11

[0075] A study was performed on the effects of varying phosphoric acid concentrations in solution. Grade 2 titanium foils were coated with a variety of concentrations, as shown below in Table 6. For all solutions, the following concentrations were held constant: 2.8% by weight malic acid, 0.5% by weight EDOT, 80% by weight deionized water, 20% by weight acetonitrile. Coated samples were 24.5cm² and coated at 0.26mA/cm² for 9 minutes. Samples were measured in parallel to obtain capacitance and ESR measurements.

Table 6

H ₃ PO ₄ conc.	Cap. (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
1%	14.44	3.0671	Coating is even and uniform, medium blue color; rainbow color when dry
2%	18.26	3.222	Coating is even and uniform, medium blue color; slight rainbow effect when dry
3%	18.55	3.1989	Coating is even and uniform, dark blue color; dark blue when dry
4%	18.97	2.6817	Coating is even and uniform and very dark blue; very dark blue when dry
5%	18.75	2.8387	Coating is even and uniform, dark blue in color; dark blue when dry
10%	19.1	3.5103	Coating is even and uniform, dark blue in color

[0076] The 10% phosphoric acid PEDOT coating solution was left at room temperature overnight and coating was then attempted. The solution would not coat a 3.5cm x 7cm foil sample. Coating was very uneven and streaky.

Example 12

- [0077] A 4L batch of the PEDOT coating solution containing 3% H₃PO₄ was prepared. The solution contained 112 g malic acid (2.8% by weight), 120 g H₃PO₄ (3% by weight) and 3200 ml deionized water, mixed and combined with 20 g EDOT (0.5% by weight) and 800 ml acetonitrile.
- [0078] This solution was used to coat long strips of grade 2 titanium that had been precleaned in a 10% SIMPLE GREEN in water solution. The grade 2 titanium strip size is 10.1 cm by 22.0 cm (222.2 cm²) and a current of 0.26 mA/cm² (57.8mA) was applied to each for 9 minutes. The titanium coated evenly and uniformly but was less consistent if bends were present in the foil.
- [0079] After coating, foils were post cleaned with a five minute soak in 10% ammonium bicarbonate in water.
- [0080] Samples, each 6 cm², were cut from the coated, rinsed foil and capacitance and ESR were measured, as shown in Table 7, below.

Table 7

Foil sample	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
1	9.88	2.7665
2	9.72	2.8085
3	10.02	2.7543
4	10.50	2.6684

Example 13

- [0081] A 100 ml solution of PEDOT coating solution with 3% phosphoric acid was prepared and was left at room temperature to determine how long the solution would be able to coat. Capacitance and ESR were measured in

parallel, as shown below in Table 8. Grade 2 titanium coupon size was 3.5 cm by 7 cm.

Table 8

Day 0: Cathode coated even and uniformly		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH_4HCO_3 rinse	24.9	3.1734
After NH_4HCO_3 rinse	23.8	3.6902
Next Day	21.9	2.9623
48 hours	21.1	2.6630

Day 1: Cathode coated but was streaky and uneven on one side		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH_4HCO_3 rinse	16.58	3.1469
After NH_4HCO_3 rinse	16.86	3.5067
Next Day	13.43	3.2275

Day 2: Coating is very uneven and streaky; some areas did not coat at all		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH_4HCO_3 rinse	14.70	3.0001
After NH_4HCO_3 rinse	13.73	3.0257

Example 14

[0082] A 2% H_3PO_4 PEDOT coating solution was prepared to examine the life of a solution stored at room temperature. Solution composition was 2.8 g malic acid (2.8% by weight), 2.0g H_3PO_4 (2.0% by weight) and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT (0.5% by weight) and 20 g acetonitrile.

[0083] Capacitance and ESR were measured in parallel, as shown below in Table 9. Coupon size was 3.5 cm x 7 cm and the coupons were cleaned with a 10% SIMPLE GREEN solution prior to coating. Coating was at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes.

Table 9

Day 0: Coating was slightly uneven, not as good as the 3% H ₃ PO ₄ solution; coating was dark blue.		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	19.47	3.1118
After NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	19.26	3.2313
Day 4: Foil samples would not coat with the solution. After addition of another 0.5% EDOT by weight, the solution still would not coat grade 2 titanium strips.		

Example 15

[0084] A PEDOT coating solution with 2.5% H₃PO₄ was mixed and stored at room temperature. The solution contained 2.8 g malic acid, 2.5 g H₃PO₄ and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile. Capacitance and ESR were measured in parallel as shown below in Table 10.

Table 10

Day 0: Coating was dark blue and not quite as even as 3% H ₃ PO ₄		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	16.92	2.9742
After NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	18.29	3.0891

Day 1: Coating was fairly even but a lighter blue than day 0		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	19.16	2.6449
After NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	18.09	2.9322
Day 2: Coating was uneven and streaky		
	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)
Before NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	17.54	2.7818
After NH ₄ HCO ₃ rinse	15.34	2.8896

Example 15

- [0085] To improve the life span of the PEDOT coating solution at room temperature, two solutions containing the following were prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 3.0 g H₃PO₄ and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile.
- [0086] One solution was used to coat and held at room temperature and the other was used to coat, flushed with nitrogen gas, then stored at room temperature.
- [0087] Cleaned titanium foils of size 3.5 cm x 7 cm were coated for 9 minutes at 6.4 mA (0.26 mA/cm²). Two foils were coated daily for each solution then rinsed for 5 minutes in a 5 % ammonium bicarbonate solution then rinsed with water. Capacitance and ESR were measured in parallel, as shown below in Table 11.

Table 11

Sample	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on Coating
Day 0, no N ₂ flush (23.1C)	22.7	2.4376	Foils coated evenly
Day 0, N ₂ flush (23.1 C)	22.2	2.8155	Even, uniform coat
Day 1, no N ₂ flush (22.2 C)	20.9	2.6915	Even coating
Day 1, N ₂ flush (22.2 C)	21.7	2.6822	Coating somewhat streaky
Day 4, no N ₂ flush (22.6 C)	0.9999	5.5028	Very uneven and streaky coating
Day 4 N ₂ flush (22.6 C)	0.9614	4.4447	Very uneven coating

Example 16

[0088] To examine the optimum storage condition of the PEDOT coating solution with 3% H₃PO₄, several storage conditions were examined. Four solutions containing the following were prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 3.0 g H₃PO₄ and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile.

[0089] Four storage conditions were examined: (A) room temperature, no gas flush; (B) room temperature, with N₂ gas flush, (C) refrigeration, no gas flush, and (D) refrigeration, with N₂ gas flush.

[0090] Foils precleaned with SIMPLE GREEN, of size 3.5 cm x 7 cm will be coated daily with the solutions until they are exhausted. Two grade 2 titanium foils will be coated with each solution and capacitance and ESR were

measured in parallel, as shown below in Table 12. Prior to measurement, foils will be rinsed for five minutes in a 5% ammonium bicarbonate solution.

Table 12

Day 0: All coating solutions produced an even, uniform coating on foil samples.				
Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
A	23.1	17.92	2.8143	Even and uniform
B	23.1	17.95	2.7083	Even and uniform
C	23.1	20.7	2.8574	Even and uniform
D	23.1	21.1	2.5866	Even and uniform
Day 1: The coating solutions flushed with nitrogen did not coat as well as those that were not flushed with nitrogen. The solutions that had been refrigerated were better at coating than those held at room temperature. Solutions that had been refrigerated were allowed to reach room temperature before foils were coated. Before being examined for capacitance and ESR all foils were rinsed for 5 min in a 5% ammonium bicarbonate solution.				
Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
A	24.4	16.86	1.9442	Coating fairly even, some streakiness present
B	24.3	15.58	2.9158	Coating splotchy; some areas have dark coating, others left bare titanium
C	25.2	16.68	2.7544	Coating is even and uniform
D	25.0	15.16	4.0662	Mostly even coating, a few areas of bare titanium

Day 2: the coating solutions stored at room temperature did not coat at all evenly or uniformly. Those stored in the refrigerator coated fairly evenly. The solutions stored at low temperature were allowed to reach room temperature before coating				
Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
A	22.2	5.42	3.5188	Coating very uneven and splotchy; coating was nearly one sided.
B	23.1	5.01	3.1235	Coating very uneven and splotchy. Nearly one sided coating. Slightly darker than no N ₂ flush.
C	22.1	19.20	2.9688	Coating very even and uniform
D	22.9	23.7	2.2209	Coating very even and uniform
Day 3: Only the solutions that had been refrigerated were used to coat foils because solutions held at room temperature did not coat effectively on day 2. Both solutions (C) and (D) coated evenly with a few areas of splotchiness. Both solutions coated very similarly.				
Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
C	25.0	16.50	3.1086	Coating very blue, fairly even, some splotchy areas
D	25.9	22.1	3.3123	Coating very blue, fairly even, some splotchy areas

Day 4: Again, only the solutions that had been refrigerated (C and D) were used to coat foils. Solutions were allowed to reach room temperature before being used for coating. The solution that was not flushed with nitrogen still coated evenly and uniformly. The solution that was flushed with nitrogen did not coat as evenly but did still coat.

Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
C	24.3	18.86	3.3925	Coating is even and uniform
D	24.0	12.80	4.2715	Parts of coating are even but parts are splotchy. Areas of raw titanium are visible.

Day 7: Only the solutions that had been stored at refrigeration (C and D) were used to coat foils. Solutions were allowed to reach room temperature before being used for coating. The solution that was not flushed with nitrogen coated but was spotty. The solution that was flushed with nitrogen coated somewhat evenly on one side and barely coated at all on the other side. Foils were rinsed with 5% ammonium bicarbonate before capacitance and ESR were tested.

Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
C	25.5	12.99	3.4408	Dark blue coating with areas of spots and splotchiness
D	25.1	7.84	3.5971	Dark blue coating with areas of splotchiness. One side coats significantly better than the other.

Day 8: Only solutions C and D were tested. They were brought to room temperature before being used to coat foils. Both solutions coated very unevenly on one side and somewhat evenly on the other side. The samples

coated with the solution that had not been flushed with nitrogen had a slightly better coating than the ones that had been nitrogen flushed. Since neither solution coated very well, this was the last day the solutions were used for coating.

Sample	Temp (°C)	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
C	24.6	12.38	2.8646	Dark blue coating some what even on one side, very uneven on other side.
D	24.4	6.82	3.4782	Fairly uneven on one side, very uneven on other side

Example 17

[0091] A number of coating solutions with alternatives for phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) were produced.

[0092] A solution containing the following was prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 35 g ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (5% by weight) and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile. The solution was used to coat two 3.5 cm x 7 cm samples at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes. The coating produced was gold in color. Foils were measured in parallel and a capacitance of 37.229 μF and an ESR of 9.997 Ω were observed.

[0093] A solution containing the following was prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 10 g hypophosphorous acid (5% by weight) and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile. The solution was used to coat two 3.5 cm x 7 cm samples at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes. The coating was uneven and spotty and dark blue in color. Foils were measured in parallel and a capacitance of 24.9 mF and an ESR of 2.8791 Ω were observed.

[0094] A solution containing the following was prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 5 g sodium phosphate (5% by weight) and 80 g deionized water mixed and

combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile. The solution was used to coat two 3.5 cm x 7 cm samples at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes. The coating produced was gold in color. Foils were measured in parallel and a capacitance of 46.062 µF and an ESR of 11.40 Ω were observed

[0095] A solution containing the following was prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 2.5 g boric acid (2.5 % by weight) and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile. The 25 g of boric acid was added to the 80 g deionized water and the solution was heated on low heat and stirred until all boric acid went into solution. After the boric acid went in solution, the solution was allowed to cool before the remaining chemicals were added. The solution was used to coat two 3.5 cm x 7 cm samples of precleaned titanium at 0.26 mA/cm² for 9 minutes. The foils had a fairly even coating but were somewhat spotty. Foils were measured in parallel and a capacitance of 23.3 mF and an ESR of 3.1269 Ω were observed

[0096] Two additional 3.5 cm x 7 cm strips of precleaned titanium were coated with the solution at 0.52 mA/cm² for 9 minutes. These foils had an even coating and a darker color. Foils were measured in parallel and a capacitance of 30.8 mF and an ESR of 2.8578 Ω were observed

[0097] A solution containing the following was prepared: 2.8 g malic acid, 2.5 g sodium tetraborate decahydrate and 80 g deionized water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile. This solution would not coat precleaned titanium strips.

Example 18

[0098] To determine the optimum amount of boric acid in the solution for coating, various mixtures were made of the following compositions: 2.8 g malic acid, varying concentrations of boric acid (1%, 2%, 3%, 4% and 5%) and 80 g deionized water, mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 20 g acetonitrile.

[0099] For each solution, pH of the solution was measured and two strips of precleaned grade 2 titanium, 3.5 cm x 7 cm (24.5 cm^2), were coated in each solution. Coating was performed at room temperature. All pH measurements were taken after two strips of titanium were coated. In each solution, two precleaned strips of titanium were coated at 0.40 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes (9.8 mA). The strips were rinsed in a 5% ammonium bicarbonate solution for 5 minutes before capacitance and ESR were measured, as shown below in Table 13. Two strips were coated in each solution and measurements were made in parallel.

Table 13

Boric Acid in Solution	pH	Capacitance (mF)	ESR (Ω)	Comments on coating
1%	1.99	19.87	2.2395	Dark blue-black coating, fairly even, a few spotty areas
2%	1.87	20.5	2.4876	Dark blue-black coating, fairly even, a few spotty areas. Not quite as uniform as 1%
3%	1.75	21.3	1.7887	Dark blue-black coating, fairly even, very few spotty areas
4%	1.77	17.81	2.5641	Very dark blue-black coating, fairly even with very few spotty areas
5%	1.74	20.2	1.9761	Very dark blue-black coating, somewhat even with several areas of spottiness, less even than other coatings

[00100] The 1%, 3% and 4% boric acid solutions seemed to have the best coating at 0.40 mA/cm². Capacitance was fairly steady for all solution coatings.

Example 19

[00101] A study on different cleaning steps for titanium foil was conducted to determine if different cleaning steps resulted in differing levels of adhesion to the foil. A solution consisting of p-toluene sulfonic acid, malic acid and EDOT monomer in methanol, water and acetonitrile, as discussed above, was used to coat the samples.

[00102] Two samples were treated with each cleaning step shown in Table 14A below. Each treatment received a five minute rinse after cleaning.

Table 14A

Treatment	Results
2 minute boil in 5% Oxalic Acid	Coated on one side, very unevenly on other side. Coating wiped away with glove.
5 minute soak in Acetone	Streaky coating on both sides; more uniform than most.
5 minute soak in 1N sodium hydroxide	Streakier coating on one side; fairly even on other side.
5 minute soak in 7% Nitric Acid	Streaky coating on both sides; both sides have similar amount of coating.
5 minute soak in 10% Sulfuric Acid	Very streaky coating on one side, even coating on other side.
Wiped with Acetone, then 5 minute soak in Acetone	Even coating on both sides, no pronounced streaking.
Control (no cleaning step)	Uneven coating (streaky) on both sides.

[00103] As shown above, wiping the foil samples with acetone provided the best results. Further testing showed that wiping with acetone without a water rinse gave a more even coating.

[00104] Further, a variety of precleaning steps were examined to determine which, if any, give a consistently good substrate for the polymer coating. Grade 1 and grade 2 titanium were cleaned. 26 cm long strips were cut from each type of titanium and the strips were cut in half, width wise. The grade 1 strips were cut into two 1.75 cm strips and the grade 2 strips were cut into two 5.1 cm strips. For each pair of strips one side remained uncleared (control) and the other was exposed to the assigned pretreatment. Following all treatments, the foils were rinsed in deionized water for five minutes. After the precleaning the foils appeared similar to their control counterparts except the oxalic acid preclean. The oxalic preclean darkened the titanium after a five minute preclean.

[00105] Following the preclean steps, the foils were coated with a PEDOT solution. The coating solution was composed of the following: 98 g malic acid (2.8% by weight), 105 g phosphoric acid (3.0% by weight) and 2625 g water (75% by weight) mixed and combined with 17.5 g EDOT (0.5 % by weight) and 654.5 g acetonitrile (18.7 % by weight). Pairs of foils were coated at 0.28 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes (60 mA for grade 2 foils and 20 mA for grade 1 foils). Solution was kept between 21°C and 22°C for the entire coating process. Coating results are shown below in Table 14B.

Table 14B

Treatment	Results
5 minute soak in 10% SIMPLE GREEN	Control coating more streaky than treated; surface oil present in control may have resulted in areas that did not coat
5 minute boil in 5% oxalic acid	Treated foils had a very streaky coating. Control foils had a few spotty areas.

5 minute boil in 5% citric acid	Treated foils had a more even coating than control; surface oil present in control may have resulted in areas that did not coat
5 minute boil in 5% acetic acid	Treated foils had a more even coating than control; surface oil present in control may have resulted in areas that did not coat
5 minute boil in 5% formic acid	Very even coating on treated foils; treated foils had a more even coating than control; surface oil present in control may have resulted in areas that did not coat

Example 20

[00106] A solution consisting of 50 g NaOH and 500 g deionized water was prepared to surface treat titanium strips. The solution was heated to between 90°C and 100°C and titanium foils were submerged for 1 minute, 2 minutes and 5 minutes.

[00107] A grade 2 foil was cleaned for 5 minutes and grade 1 foils were cleaned for 1 and 2 minutes. All foils were cut in half and one half was exposed to treatment and the other was held as a control. All the sodium hydroxide precleaned strips showed surface changes. The five minute grade 2 foil was gold colored on both sides. Both the one and two minute grade 1 foils were gold colored on one side and did not appear to undergo a surface change on the other side. Strips were coated in pairs (treated and control).

[00108] In the grade 1 foil cleaned for 1 minute, the side that turned gold in color did not coat while the side that did not have a changed appearance coated evenly. The control strip coated on both sides.

[00109] In the grade 1 foil cleaned for 2 minutes, the side of the treated strip that turned gold in color did not coat while the side that did not have a changed appearance coated evenly. The control strip coated on both sides.

[00110] In the grade 2 foil coated for 5 minutes, the treated strip did not coat evenly on either side. Both sides were very streaky. The control strip coated fairly evenly on both sides.

Example 21

[00111] To determine if different additives can improve the adhesion of PEDOT to titanium, two solutions were tested. The first consisted of: 98 g malic acid (2.8% by weight), 3.0 g phosphoric acid (3.0% by weight) and 74.9 g water (74.9% by weight) mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT (0.5 % by weight), 0.1 g dioctyl sulfosuccinate (0.1%) and 18.7 g acetonitrile (18.7 % by weight). The second consisted of: 98 g malic acid (2.8% by weight), 3.0 g phosphoric acid (3.0% by weight) and 74.6 g water (74.6% by weight) mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT (0.5 % by weight), 0.5 g 3-glycidoxypropyltrimethoxysilane (0.5%) and 18.6 g acetonitrile (18.6 % by weight). Additionally, a 1% silane in alcohol solution was prepared to use as a pretreat for titanium. To prepare this solution, 2 g of silane were added to 198 g ethanol.

[00112] Samples of grade 2 titanium (6 cm^2) were precleaned with a 10% SIMPLE GREEN solution. Samples were cleaned for five minutes then rinsed in deionized water. After samples were cleaned they were coated with either solution 1 or 2 or pretreated with a 5 minute soak in a 1% silane in alcohol solution before being coated with a standard coating solution containing 2.8 g malic acid, 3.0 g phosphoric acid and 75 g water mixed and combined with 0.5 g EDOT and 18.7 g acetonitrile. All samples were coated in a stainless steel beaker at 0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes.

[00113] The samples exposed to the silane pretreatment followed by a standard PEDOT coating process (0.26 mA/cm^2 for 9 minutes) did not coat very evenly. These samples coated well on one side but very unevenly on the other. The samples that were coated with solution 1 (surfactant added) had an uneven coating on both sides of the samples. The samples that were coated

with solution 2 (silane added) had an even coating on one side and an uneven coating on the other side.

[00114] While various embodiments of the present invention have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example only, and not limitation. Thus, the breadth and scope of the present invention should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents. Additionally, all references cited herein, including journal articles or abstracts, published or corresponding U.S. or foreign patent applications, issued U.S. or foreign patents, or any other references, are each entirely incorporated by reference herein, including all data, tables, figures, and text presented in the cited references.

[00115] The foregoing description of the specific embodiments will so fully reveal the general nature of the invention that others can, by applying knowledge within the skill of the art (including the contents of the references cited herein), readily modify and/or adapt for various applications such specific embodiments, without undue experimentation, without departing from the general concept of the present invention. Therefore, such adaptations and modifications are intended to be within the meaning and range of equivalents of the disclosed embodiments, based on the teaching and guidance presented herein. It is to be understood that the phraseology or terminology herein is for the purpose of description and not of limitation, such that the terminology or phraseology of the present specification is to be interpreted by the skilled artisan in light of the teachings and guidance presented herein, in combination with the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.